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#### Abstract

In this paper we work with the variety of commutative algebras satisfying the identity $\beta\left(\left(x^{2} y\right) x-((y x) x) x\right)+\gamma\left(x^{3} y-((y x) x) x\right)=0$, where $\beta, \gamma$ are scalars. They are called generalized almost-Jordan algebras. We prove that this variety is equivalent to the variety of commutative algebras satisfying $(3 \beta+\gamma)\left(G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)\right)+$ $(\beta+3 \gamma)(J(x, z, t) y-J(y, z, t) x)=0$, for all $x, y, z, t \in A$, where $J(x, y, z)=(x y) z+(y z) x+(z x) y$ and $G_{x}(y, z, t)=(y z, x, t)+(y t, x, z)+$ ( $z t, x, y)$. Moreover, we prove that if $A$ is a commutative algebra, then $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$, for all $x, y, z, t \in A$, if and only if $A$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra for $\beta=1$ and $\gamma=-3$, that is, $A$ satisfies the identity $\left(x^{2} y\right) x+2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0$ and we study this identity. We also prove that if $A$ is a commutative algebra, then $G_{y}(x, z, t)=G_{x}(y, z, t)$, for all $x, y, z, t \in A$, if and only if $A$ is an almost-Jordan or a Lie Triple algebra.
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## 1. Introduction

In this work, $F$ is a field of $\operatorname{char} F \neq 2$ and $A$ be a commutative not necessarily associative algebra over $F$.

The algebra $A$ is called Jordan algebra if satisfies $\left(y^{2}, x, y\right)=0$, for all $y, x \in A$. For properties of these algebras see [10]. It is know, see Osborn [7], that a Jordan algebra satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(x^{2} y\right) x-2((y x) x) x-x^{3} y=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Algebras satisfying identity (1.1), called Lie Triple algebras or almostJordan algebras have been studied by Hentzel, Peresi, Osborn, Peterson and Sidorov [5, 7, 8, 9, 11].

Identity (1.1) was generalized in 1988 by Carini, Hentzel and PiaccentiniCattaneo, see [3]. After that, Arenas and Labra call them generalized almost-Jordan algebras, see [1].

We say that $A$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra if it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\left(x^{2} y\right) x-((y x) x) x\right)+\gamma\left(x^{3} y-((y x) x) x\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$, where $\beta, \gamma \in F$ and $(\beta, \gamma) \neq(0,0)$.
In the study of degree four identities not implied by conmutativity, Osborn [8] classified those that were implied by the fact of possessing a unit element. Carini, Hentzel and Piacentini-Cattaneo [3] extended this work by dropping the restriction on the existence of the unit element. The identity defining a generalized almost-Jordan algebra with $\beta, \gamma \in F$ appears as one of these identities.

We have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)=\left(x^{2} y\right) x-x^{2}(y x),\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)=x^{3} y-x^{2}(y x),(y x, x, x)= \\
((y x) x) x-(y x) x^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

so
$\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)-(y x, x, x)=\left(x^{2} y\right) x-((y x) x) x,\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)-(y x, x, x)=x^{3} y-((y x) x) x$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\beta\left(\left(x^{2} y\right) x-((y x) x) x\right)+\gamma\left(x^{3} y-((y x) x) x\right)= \\
& \beta\left(\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)-(y x, x, x)\right)+\gamma\left(\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)-(y x, x, x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in terms of associators a generalized almost-Jordan algebra satisfies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)+\gamma\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)=(\beta+\gamma)(y x, x, x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta=3$ and $\gamma=-1$, we obtain an almost-Jordan algebra, that is, $A$ satisfies

$$
3\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)=\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)+2(y x, x, x)
$$

Generalized almost-Jordan algebras $A$ have been studied in [3] where the authors proved that for almost all the algebras, simplicity implies associativity, in [1], where the authors proved that these algebras always have a trace form in terms of the trace of right multiplication operators. They also prove that if $A$ is finite-dimensional and solvable, then it is nilpotent. In [2] the author found the Wedderburn decomposition of $A$ assuming that for every ideal $I$ of $A$ either $I$ has a non zero idempotent or $I \subset R, R$ the solvable radical of $A$ and the quotient $A / R$ is separable, in [4] the authors give a characterization of representations and irreducibles modules of these algebras, and in [6] where, assuming that $A$ also satisfies $((x x) x) x=0$ the authors proved the existence of an ideal $I$ of $A$ such that $A I=I A=0$ and the quotient algebra $A / I$ is power-associative.

In this paper we prove the equivalence between generalized almostJordan algebras, and commutative algebras satisfying the identity $(3 \beta+$ $\gamma)\left(G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)\right)+(\beta+3 \gamma)(J(x, z, t) y-J(y, z, t) x)=0$, for all $x, y, z, t \in A$, where $J(x, y, z)=(x y) z+(y z) x+(z x) y$ and $G_{x}(y, z, t)=$ $(y z, x, t)+(y t, x, z)+(z t, x, y)$, Theorem 3.2. We prove that a Jordan algebra satisfies the identity $G_{x}(y, z, t)=0$ for all $x, y, z, t \in A$. Conversely if $\operatorname{char} F \neq 3$, then every commutative algebra $G_{x}(y, z, t)=0$ for all $x, y, z, t \in$ $A$ is a Jordan algebra, Proposition 3.1. Moreover, we prove that if $A$ is a commutative algebra, then $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$ for all $x, y, z, t \in A$, if and only if $A$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra for $\beta=1$ and $\gamma=-3$, that is, $A$ satisfies the identity $\left(x^{2} y\right) x+2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0$, Proposition 3.4. We also prove that if $A$ is a commutative algebra, then $G_{y}(x, z, t)=$ $G_{x}(y, z, t)$, for all $x, y, z, t \in A$, if and only if $A$ is an almost-Jordan algebra, Proposition 3.5. Finally, we give same new identities, Theorem 3.13 and Proposition 3.15 for commutative algebras satisfying the identity $\left(x^{2} y\right) x+$ $2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we found relationships among generalized almost-Jordan algebras and alternative algebras, Jordan algebras, baric algebras or balgebras.

Proposition 2.1. Let $A$ be a commutative right alternative algebra. Then $A$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra, for $\beta=\gamma=1$.

Proof: Since $A$ is a right alternative algebra, then $A$ is an alternative algebra and $(x, y, z)=-(x, z, y)$, so by (1.2) we have, $\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)+\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)=$ $\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)-\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)=0=2(y x, x, x)$.

If $A$ is a $F$-algebra, then we will define a new algebra $A^{\prime}=F e \oplus A$, as vector space, and the multiplication given by:

$$
(\alpha e+u)(\beta e+v)=\alpha \beta e+u v,
$$

where $e$ is an idempotent, $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $u, v \in A$.
Proposition 2.2. Let $A$ be a generalized almost-Jordan algebra. Then $A^{\prime}$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra and $\omega: A^{\prime} \rightarrow F$, given by $\omega(\alpha e+u)=$ $\alpha$, is a nonzero homomorphism of algebras.

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in F, u, v \in A, x=\alpha e+u$ and $y=\beta e+v$. Since $e z=0$ and $e^{2}=e$ for all $z \in A$, then $(a, b, c)=0$, if $a, b, c \in A \cup\{e\}$, and at least one of them is equal to $e$, so

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{2}=\alpha^{2} e+u^{2}, y x=\alpha \beta e+v u \\
\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)=\left(\alpha^{2} e+u^{2}, \beta e+v, \alpha e+u\right)=\alpha^{3} \beta(e, e, e)+\left(u^{2}, v, u\right)=\left(u^{2}, v, u\right) \\
\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)=\left(u^{2}, u, v\right) \text { and }(y x, x, x)=(v u, u, u) .
\end{gathered}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)+\gamma\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)=\beta\left(u^{2}, v, u\right)+\gamma\left(u^{2}, u, v\right)=(\beta+\gamma)(v u, u, u)= \\
& (\beta+\gamma)(y x, x, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.3. Let $A$ be a $F$-algebra. If $\omega: A \rightarrow F$ is a nonzero algebra homomorphism, then the ordered pair $(A, \omega)$ is called a baric algebra or $b$-algebra. When a b-algebra $(A, \omega)$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra, then we call it generalized almost-Jordan b-algebra.

Corollary 2.4. Let $A$ be a generalized almost-Jordan algebra. Then $\left(A^{\prime}, \omega\right)$ is a generalized almost-Jordan b-algebra.

If $A$ is a $F$-algebra, then we will define a new algebra $A^{\#}=F \oplus A$, as vector space, and the multiplication given by:

$$
(\alpha+u)(\beta+v)=\alpha \beta+\alpha v+\beta u+u v
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $u, v \in A, A^{\#}$ has unit element $1+0=1$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $A$ be a generalized almost-Jordan algebra. Then $A^{\#}$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra if and only if $\beta+3 \gamma=0$ or $A$ is an alternative algebra.

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in F, u, v \in A, x=\alpha+u$ and $y=\beta+v$. We note that $(1, a, b)=(a, 1, b)=(a, b, 1)=0=(a, b, a)$, for all $a, b \in A^{\#}$, so

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{2}=\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha u+u^{2}, y x=\alpha \beta+\alpha v+\beta u+v u \\
\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)=\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha u+u^{2}, \beta+v, \alpha+u\right)=2 \alpha(u, v, u)+\left(u^{2}, v, u\right)=\left(u^{2}, v, u\right) \\
\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)=\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha u+u^{2}, \alpha+u, \beta+v\right)=2 \alpha(u, u, v)+\left(u^{2}, u, v\right) \\
(y x, x, x)=(\alpha \beta+\alpha v+\beta u+v u, \alpha+u, \alpha+u)=\alpha(v, u, u)+(v u, u, u) \\
\left.(u, u, v)=u^{2} v-u(u v)=-\left((v u) u-v u^{2}\right)\right)=-(v, u, u)
\end{gathered}
$$

therefore
$\beta\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)+\gamma\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)-(\beta+\gamma)(y x, x, x)=\beta\left(u^{2}, v, u\right)+2 \alpha \gamma(u, u, v)+$ $\gamma\left(u^{2}, u, v\right)-\alpha(\beta+\gamma)(v, u, u)-(\beta+\gamma)(v u, u, u)=2 \alpha \gamma(u, u, v)-\alpha(\beta+$ $\gamma)(v, u, u)=-2 \alpha \gamma(v, u, u)-\alpha(\beta+\gamma)(v, u, u)=-\alpha(3 \gamma+\beta)(v, u, u)$. Since $\alpha$ is arbitrary, then the Theorem follows.

Corollary 2.6. Let $A$ be an almost-Jordan algebra. Then $A^{\#}$ is an almostJordan algebra.

Corollary 2.7. If $A$ is an almost-Jordan algebra and $\omega$ : $A^{\#} \rightarrow F$ is given by $\omega(\alpha+u)=\alpha$. Then $\left(A^{\#}, \omega\right)$ is an almost-Jordan b-algebra.

Example 2.8. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic not 2 and $A$ be a commutative $F$-algebra of basis $\{s, t\}$ with the multiplication:

|  | $s$ | $t$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $s+t$ | $\frac{1}{2} t$ |
| $t$ | $\frac{1}{2} t$ | 0 |

This algebra is an almost-Jordan algebra, but is not a Jordan algebra, see [7]. Moreover, it is a b-algebra and the only idempotent is zero.

In fact, let $\omega: A \rightarrow F$ given by $\omega(a s+b t)=a$, where $a, b \in F$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega\left((a s+b t)\left(a^{\prime} s+b^{\prime} t\right)\right)=\omega\left(a a^{\prime} s+\frac{1}{2}\left(2 a a^{\prime}+a b^{\prime}+a^{\prime} b\right) t\right)=a a^{\prime}= \\
\omega(a s+b t) \omega\left(a^{\prime} s+b^{\prime} t\right), \text { for all } a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime} \in F,
\end{gathered}
$$

so, this algebra is a b-algebra.
If $e=a s+b t \in A$, such that $e^{2}=e$, then

$$
a s+b t=a^{2} s+\frac{1}{2}\left(2 a^{2}+2 a b\right) t=a^{2} s+\left(a^{2}+a b\right) t
$$

so $a=a^{2}$ and $b=a^{2}+a b$, therefore, $a=b=0$, then $e=0$ is the only idempotent of $A$.

Example 2.9. Let $F$ be a field and $A$ be a commutative $F$-algebra of basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ with the multiplication:

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{3}$ | 0 | $x_{3}$ |
| $x_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0 | $x_{3}$ | 0 | $x_{2}+x_{3}$ |

In [1] the authors prove that this algebra is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra for all $\beta, \gamma \in F$, because $\left(x^{2} y\right) x=((y x) x) x=x^{3} y=0$ for all $x, y \in$ $A$. Since $\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-x_{1}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=x_{3}$, then $A$ is not alternative algebra.

We will to prove that this algebra is not a b-algebra.
Let $\omega: A \rightarrow F$ be an algebra homomorphism, since $x_{3}^{2}=0, x_{2}^{2}=x_{3}, x_{1}^{2}=$ $x_{2}$ and $x_{4}^{2}=x_{2}+x_{3}$, then $\omega\left(x_{3}\right)=\omega\left(x_{2}\right)=\omega\left(x_{1}\right)=\omega\left(x_{4}\right)=0$, so $A$ is not a $b$-algebra.

## 3. Main Results

Let $A$ be a generalized almost-Jordan algebra.
Linearising (1.3) we have,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta\left(\left(x^{2}, y, z\right)+2(x z, y, x)\right)+\gamma\left(\left(x^{2}, z, y\right)+2(x z, x, y)\right)= \\
=(\beta+\gamma)((y x, x, z)+(y x, z, x)+(y z, x, x)) \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \beta((t x, y, z)+(x z, y, t)+(t z, y, x))+ \\
+2 \gamma((t x, z, y)+(x z, t, y)+(t z, x, y))=  \tag{3.2}\\
=(\beta+\gamma)((y x, t, z)+(y x, z, t)+ \\
+(y t, x, z)+(y t, z, x)+(y z, x, t)+(y z, t, x))
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $G_{x}: A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$ given by

$$
G_{x}(y, z, t)=(y z, x, t)+(y t, x, z)+(z t, x, y)
$$

It is easy to see that, $G_{x}$ is 3 -lineal function and symmetric in every two variables. Moreover, the complete linearization of the $\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)$ is $2 G_{x}(y, z, t)$. If $A$ is a Jordan algebra, then $G_{x}(y, z, t)=0$, for all $x, y, z, t \in A$. Conversely we have.

Proposition 3.1. Let $A$ be a commutative algebra over a field of characteristic not 3, such that

$$
G_{x}(y, z, t)=0,
$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in A$. Then $A$ is a Jordan algebra.
Proof: Setting $z=t=y$ in $G_{x}(y, z, t)=(y z, x, t)+(y t, x, z)+(z t, x, y)=0$, we get $\left(y^{2}, x, y\right)+\left(y^{2}, x, y\right)+\left(y^{2}, x, y\right)=0$, so $3\left(y^{2}, x, y\right)=0$, then $A$ is a Jordan algebra.

Theorem 3.2. $A$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra, if and only if $A$ is a commutative algebra satisfying

$$
(3 \beta+\gamma)\left(G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)\right)+(\beta+3 \gamma)(J(x, z, t) y-J(y, z, t) x)=0
$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in A$, where $J(a, b, c)=(a b) c+(b c) a+(c a) b$.
Proof: By (3.2) we have,

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \beta G_{y}(x, z, t)+2 \gamma((t x, z, y)+(x z, t, y)+(t z, x, y))=(\beta+\gamma)\left(G_{t}(x, y, z)+\right. \\
\left.G_{x}(y, z, t)+G_{z}(x, y, t)\right)-(\beta+\gamma)((x z, t, y)+(t z, x, y)+(t x, z, y)), \quad \text { so } \\
2 \beta G_{y}(x, z, t)+(\beta+3 \gamma)((x z, t, y)+(t z, x, y)+(t x, z, y))= \\
(3.3) \quad(\beta+\gamma)\left(G_{t}(x, y, z)+G_{x}(y, z, t)+G_{z}(x, y, t)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In (3.3), replacing $x$ by $y$ and $y$ by $x$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \beta G_{x}(y, z, t)+(\beta+3 \gamma)((y z, t, x)+(t z, y, x)+(t y, z, x))=  \tag{3.4}\\
(\beta+\gamma)\left(G_{t}(x, y, z)+G_{y}(x, z, t)+G_{z}(x, y, t)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.3) and (3.4),

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \beta\left(G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)\right)+ \\
(\beta+3 \gamma)((x z, t, y)+(t z, x, y)+(t x, z, y)- \\
-(y z, t, x)-(t z, y, x)-(t y, z, x))= \\
(\beta+\gamma)\left(G_{x}(y, z, t)-H y(x, z, t)\right), \text { so } \\
(3 \beta+\gamma)\left(G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)\right)+ \\
(\beta+3 \gamma)((x z, t, y)+(t z, x, y)+(t x, z, y) \\
-(y z, t, x)-(t z, y, x)-(t y, z, x))=0, \quad \text { but } \\
(x z, t, y)+(t z, x, y)+(t x, z, y)-(y z, t, x)-(t z, y, x)-(t y, z, x)= \\
((x z) t) y-(x z)(t y)+((t z) x) y-(t z)(x y)+((t x) z) y-(t x))(z y)- \\
((y z) t) x+(y z)(t x)-((t z) y) x+(t z)(y x)-((t y) z) x+(t y)(z x)= \\
((x z) t+(t z) x+(t x) z) y-((y z) t+(t z) y+(t y) z) x=J(x, z, t) y-J(y, z, t) x,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $J(a, b, c)=(a b) c+(b c) a+(c a) b$. Therefore,
$(3 \beta+\gamma)\left(G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)\right)+(\beta+3 \gamma)(J(x, z, t) y-J(y, z, t) x)=0$.

Conversely, setting $z=t=x$ in (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(3 \beta+\gamma)\left(G_{y}(x, x, x)-G_{x}(y, x, x)\right)+(\beta+3 \gamma)(J(x, x, x) y-J(y, x, x) x)=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using the definition of $G_{x}, G_{y}$ and the conmutativity of the algebra we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{y}(x, x, x)-G_{x}(y, x, x)=3\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)-2(y x, x, x)-\left(x^{2}, x, y\right) \\
=3\left(x^{2} y\right) x-3 x^{2}(y x)-2((y x) x) x+2(y x) x^{2}-x^{3} y+x^{2}(y x) \\
=3\left(x^{2} y\right) x-2((y x) x) x-x^{3} y
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, $J(x, z, t) y-J(y, z, t) x=3 x^{3} y-2((y x) x) x-\left(x^{2} y\right) x$.
Replacing these values in $\left(^{*}\right)$ we get

$$
(3 \beta+\gamma)\left(3\left(x^{2} y\right) x-2((y x) x) x-x^{3} y\right)+(\beta+3 \gamma)\left(3 x^{3} y-2((y x) x) x-\left(x^{2} y\right) x\right)=0
$$

Reordering these terms we obtain

$$
8 \gamma x^{3} y-(8 \beta+8 \gamma)((y x) x) x+8 \beta\left(x^{2} y\right) x=0
$$

Since characteristic of the field is different of 2 we get

$$
\gamma x^{3} y-(\beta+\gamma)((y x) x) x+\beta\left(x^{2} y\right) x=0
$$

and by identity (2), $A$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra.

In [7], Osborn introduced two mappings,

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(y ; x, z, t)=(y(x z)) t+(y(z t)) x+(y(t x)) z \text { and } \\
K(y, x, z, t)=(x y)(z t)+(y z)(x t)+(y t)(x z), \text { so } \\
\left.G_{y}(x, z, t)=(x z, y, t)+(x t, y, z)+(z t, y, x)=((x z) y)\right) t+((x t) y) z+ \\
((z t) y) x-(x z)(y t)-(x t)(y z)-(z t)(y x)=H(y ; x, z, t)-K(y, x, z, t),
\end{gathered}
$$

but $K(x, y, z, t)=(y x)(z t)+(x z)(y t)+(x t)(y z)=K(y, x, z, t)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)=H(x ; y, z, t)-H(y ; x, z, t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in A$.
Corollary 3.3. If $A$ satisfies the identity $\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}=x^{4}$ for all $x \in A$ and $\beta+3 \gamma \neq 0$, then $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$.

Proof: By [7], we have $H(y ; x, z, t)=H(x ; y, z, t)$ for all $x, y, z, t \in A$ and by Theorem 3.2, $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $A$ be a commutative algebra. Then the following identities are equivalent:

1. $\left(x^{2} y\right) x+2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0$,
2. $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$.

Proof: Since $A$ satisfies the identity $\left(x^{2} y\right) x+2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0$, then $A$ is a generalized almost-Jordan algebra for $\beta=1, \gamma=-3$ and $\beta+3 \gamma \neq 0$, so by (3.5), $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$.

Conversely, setting $z=t=x$ in $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$, we get $J(x, x, x) y=$ $J(y, x, x) x$, that is $3 x^{3} y=((y x) x) x+\left(x^{2} y\right) x+((y x) x) x$, so $A$ satisfies the identity $\left(x^{2} y\right) x+2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $A$ be a commutative algebra. Then $A$ is an almostJordan algebra if and only if

$$
G_{y}(x, z, t)=G_{x}(y, z, t),
$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in A$.
Proof: Since $A$ is an almost-Jordan algebra, $\beta+3 \gamma=0$ so $3 \beta+\gamma \neq 0$, and by $(3.5),\left(G_{y}(x, z, t)-G_{x}(y, z, t)\right)=0$, so $G_{y}(x, z, t)=G_{x}(y, z, t)$.

Conversely, if $A$ satisfies the identity, $G_{y}(x, z, t)=G_{x}(y, z, t)$, then developing the associators we have

$$
[(y z) x-(x z) y] t+[(y t) x-(x t) y] z+((z t) x) y-((z t) y) x=0
$$

Since $(y, z, x)=(y z) x-y(z x)$ and $(y, t, x)=(y t) x-y(t x)$, we get

$$
(y, z, x) t+(y, t, x) z+((z t) x) y-((z t) y) x=0 .
$$

Replacing $(z t, x, y)=((z t) x) y-(z t)(x y)$ and $(z t, y, x)=((z t) y) x-(z t)(x y)$ in the above expression we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(y, z, x) t+(y, t, x) z+(z t, x, y)-(z t, y, x)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is a commutative algebra, then $(a, b, c)=-(c, b, a)$ and (3.7) becames

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, z, y) t+(x, t, y) z+(y, x, z t)-(x, y, z t)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $z=t=x$ in (3.8), we obtain

$$
2(x, x, y) x+\left(y, x, x^{2}\right)-\left(x, y, x^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Developing the associators and using the commutativity we get

$$
3\left(x^{2} y\right) x-2(x(x y)) x-y x^{3}=0
$$

By identity (1.1), $A$ is an almost-Jordan algebra.

By identity (3.6), we have
Corollary 3.6. Let $A$ be a commutative algebra. Then $A$ is an almostJordan algebra if and only if

$$
H(y ; x, z, t)=H(x ; y, z, t)
$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in A$.
By [7], we have
Proposition 3.7. If $A$ satisfies the identity $\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}=x^{4}$ for all $x \in A$, then $A$ is an almost-Jordan algebra.

Remark 3.8. The converse of the Proposition 3.7 is note true. Let $A$ be the algebra of Example 2.8, so $s^{4}=s+\frac{7}{4} t$ and $\left(s^{2}\right)^{2}=s+2 t \neq s^{4}$.

An algebra $A$ is called power-associative algebra if for all $x \in A$, the subalgebra $A(x)$ of $A$ generated by $x$ is associative algebra.

Corollary 3.9. If $A$ is a commutative power-associative algebra, then $A$ is an almost-Jordan algebra.

By Corollaries 3.3 and 3.9, we have
Proposition 3.10. If $A$ is a commutative power-associative algebra and $\beta+3 \gamma \neq 0$, then $A$ is an almost-Jordan algebra and $J(x, z, t) y=J(y, z, t) x$.

Corollary 3.11. If $A$ is a commutative power-associative algebra and $\beta+$ $3 \gamma \neq 0$, then the following identities hold,

1. $\left.3\left(x^{2} y\right) x-2((y x) x)\right) x-x^{3} y=0$,
2. $\left(x^{2} y\right) x+2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0$.
for all $x, y \in A$.

Remark 3.12. The converse of the Corollary 3.11 is note true. Let $A$ be the algebra of Example 2.9, so $A$ satisfies both identities, but $A$ is not power-associative algebra, because $x_{1}^{4}=0$ and $\left(x_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}=x_{3}$.

Let $A$ be a commutative algebra which satisfies the identities of Corollary 3.11, then $\left(x^{2} y\right) x=((y x) x) x=x^{3} y$ for all $x, y \in A$. In this case the converse is true.

Next, let $A$ be a commutative non necessarily power-associative algebra, so identities (1) and (2) of the above Corollary are not equivalent. Since identity (1), a Lie triple or almost-Jordan algebra has been largely studied we will study an algebra $A$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2} y\right) x+2((y x) x) x-3 x^{3} y=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$, which is identity (2) of Corollary 3.11.
It is known (see [1]) that every finite dimensional solvable algebra satisfiyng (3.9) is nilpotent. If $R$ is radical of $A$ and $A / R$ is solvable, then $A$ has Wedderburn decomposition, (see [2]). Moreover, if $A$ has an idempotent element, then $A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{-\frac{3}{2}}$, where $A_{i}=\{x \in A \mid e x=i x\}, i=0,1,-\frac{3}{2}$, is the Peirce decomposition of $A$. The subspaces $A_{i}$ satisfies the relations, (see [2]):

$$
A_{0}^{2} \subseteq A_{0}, A_{1}^{2} \subseteq A_{1}, A_{0} A_{1}=\{0\}=A_{-\frac{3}{2}} A_{0}=A_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{2}, A_{-\frac{3}{2}} A_{1} \subseteq A_{-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

In this work we give same new identities.
Substituting $y=x^{k}$ in (3.9), we get $\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right) x+2 x^{k+3}-3 x^{3} x^{k}=0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x^{k+3}=3 x^{3} x^{k}-\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right) x, \quad k \geq 2 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity (3.9) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2}, y, x\right)+2(y x, x, x)-3\left(x^{2}, x, y\right)=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$.
Linearising (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
2(x z, y, x)+\left(x^{2}, y, z\right)+2(y z, x, x)+2(y x, z, x)+2(y x, x, z)-  \tag{3.12}\\
-6(x z, x, y)-3\left(x^{2}, z, y\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Interchanging $y$ and $z$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
2(x y, z, x)+\left(x^{2}, z, y\right)+2(y z, x, x)+2(z x, y, x)+2(z x, x, y)- \\
-6(x y, x, z)-3\left(x^{2}, y, z\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Subtracting both identities and canceling out by the factor 4 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2}, y, z\right)-\left(x^{2}, z, y\right)+2(y x, x, z)-2(z x, x, y)=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\left(x^{2}, y, z\right)+2(y x, x, z)=\left(x^{2}, z, y\right)+2(z x, x, y)$, and substituting in identity (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x z, y, x)+(y z, x, x)+(y x, z, x)-2(x z, x, y)-\left(x^{2}, z, y\right)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.13. Let $A$ be an algebra which satisfies identity (3.9). Then A satisfies the following identities for $i, j \geq 2, i \neq j$ :

1. $\left(x^{2}, x^{i}, x^{j}\right)=2\left(x^{j+2} x^{i}-x^{i+2} x^{j}\right)$,
2. $2 x^{j+2} x^{i}=\left(x^{j+1} x^{i}\right) x+\left(x^{i+1} x^{j}\right) x+\left(\left(x^{i} x^{j}\right) x\right) x-\left(x^{2} x^{j}\right) x^{i}$.

Proof: Setting $y=x^{i}, z=x^{j}$ and then $y=x^{j}, z=x^{i}$ in identity (3.14), we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x^{j+1}, x^{i}, x\right)+\left(x^{i} x^{j}, x, x\right)+\left(x^{i+1}, x^{j}, x\right)-2\left(x^{j+1}, x, x^{i}\right)-\left(x^{2}, x^{j}, x^{i}\right)=0 \\
& \left(x^{i+1}, x^{j}, x\right)+\left(x^{j} x^{i}, x, x\right)+\left(x^{j+1}, x^{i}, x\right)-2\left(x^{i+1}, x, x^{j}\right)-\left(x^{2}, x^{i}, x^{j}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting both identities we obtain

$$
-2\left(x^{j+1}, x, x^{i}\right)-\left(x^{2}, x^{j}, x^{i}\right)+2\left(x^{i+1}, x, x^{j}\right)+\left(x^{2}, x^{i}, x^{j}\right)=0
$$

Developing the associators, we obtain

$$
-2 x^{j+2} x^{i}+2 x^{i+2} x^{j}+\left(x^{2} x^{i}\right) x^{j}-x^{2}\left(x^{i} x^{j}\right)=0
$$

This is identity (1).
To get identity (2) we use the commutativity and we will develop the associator in the identity: $\left(x^{j+1}, x^{i}, x\right)+\left(x^{i} x^{j}, x, x\right)+\left(x^{i+1}, x^{j}, x\right)-$ $2\left(x^{j+1}, x, x^{i}\right)-\left(x^{2}, x^{j}, x^{i}\right)=0$.

Remark 3.14. Setting $y=z=x^{i}$ in identity (3.14), we have

$$
2 x^{i+2} x^{i}=2\left(x^{i+1} x^{i}\right) x+\left(\left(x^{i}\right)^{2} x\right) x-\left(x^{i}\right)^{2} x^{2}-\left(x^{2} x^{i}\right) x^{i}+x^{2}\left(x^{i}\right)^{2}
$$

Proposition 3.15. Let $A$ be an algebra which satisfies identity (3.9). Then A satisfies the following identities for $k \geq 1$ :

1. $2 x^{4} x^{k}-2 x^{k+2} x^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2} x^{k}-x^{2}\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right)=0$,
2. $4 x^{k+4}=4\left(x^{3} x^{k}\right) x+3 x^{3} x^{k+1}-2 x^{k+2} x^{2}-x^{2}\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right)$,
3. $4 x^{k+4}=4\left(x^{3} x^{k}\right) x+3 x^{3} x^{k+1}-2 x^{4} x^{k}-\left(x^{2}\right)^{2} x^{k}$.

Proof: Setting $i=2, j=k$ in (1) of Theorem 3.13, we obtain identity (1).
Setting $i=2, j=k$ in (2) of Theorem 3.13, we obtain

$$
2 x^{k+2} x^{2}=\left(x^{k+1} x^{2}\right) x+\left(x^{3} x^{k}\right) x+\left(\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right) x\right) x-\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right) x^{2}
$$

Using the identity (3.10), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 x^{k+2} x^{2}=\left(3 x^{k+1} x^{3}-2 x^{k+4}\right)+\left(x^{3} x^{k}\right) x+\left(3 x^{k} x^{3}-2 x^{k+3}\right) x-\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right) x^{2}= \\
3 x^{k+1} x^{3}-4 x^{k+4}+4\left(x^{3} x^{k}\right) x-\left(x^{2} x^{k}\right) x^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

which is identity (2).
Finally identity (3) follows from identities (1) and (2).
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